IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNICARE LIFE AND HEALTH)	Civil Action No. 04-CV-30009-KPN
INSURANCE COMPANY)	
Plaintiff-Stakeholder)	U.S.
v.))	DIST IRIC
DONALD R. MARTEL, MICHELLE MARTEL LANDRY)	TRICT C
Defendants-Claimants)	ASS 23

MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 55(b) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Plaintiff-Stakeholder Unicare Life and Health Insurance Company ("Unicare") hereby moves the Court pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(1) to enter a default judgment against Defendant-Claimant Michelle Martel Landry.

STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

On January 9, 2004, Unicare filed its Complaint in this interpleader action in order to permit the Defendants to determine between themselves their respective rights to the \$4700 in death benefit ("the stake") from a policy of group life insurance, policy number GL-1450 issued by Unicare to MassMutual Life Insurance Company ("MassMutual").

After filing the Complaint, Unicare served a copy of the same on both Defendants. See, Affidavit of Theodore F. Glockner, attached hereto as Exhibit 1. On February 10, 2004, Defendant Michele Martel Landry acknowledged receiving Unicare's Complaint by executing a Waiver of Service of Summons form, a true copy of which is attached as Exhibit1A. By signing that document, Defendant Landry acknowledged that

her failure to serve an answer or other responsive pleading under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 could result in a judgment being entered against her. In particular, the Waiver of Service of Summons form states in pertinent part: "I understand that a judgment may be entered against me (or the party on whose behalf I am acting) if an answer or motion under Rule 12 is not served upon [Unicare] within 60 days after January 27, 2004." See, Exhibit 1A. As of today's date, more than sixty days has elapsed since Defendant-Claimant Landry executed the Waiver of Service of Summons form. See, Exhibit 1. On May 7, 2004, the Court entered a default against Defendant-Claimant Landry. See, Notice of Default, Exhibit 1B.

<u>ARG</u>UMENT

Unicare is entitled to a default judgment being entered against Defendant-Claimant Landry based on her complete failure to answer or otherwise defend this action. Since this Court has already entered a default against Defendant-Claimant Landry, Unicare must only satisfy Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(1) which states:

(1) By the Clerk. When the plaintiff's claim against a defendant is for a sum certain or for a sum which can by computation be made certain, the clerk upon request of the plaintiff and upon affidavit of the amount due shall enter judgment for that amount and costs against the defendant, if the defendant has failed to appear and not an infant or incompetent person.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b)(1).

As this is an interpleader action for \$4700 in death benefits from a policy of group life insurance, there can be no dispute that the sum is a sum certain. The certainty of the amount in dispute in this case is also established by the fact that Unicare has deposited the entire stake with the Court. See, Exhibit 1. Furthermore, unlike the usual situation in which a Plaintiff seeks to obtain a default judgment in a particular amount, in the present

2

interpleader context, Unicare seeks default judgment against Defendant -Claimant Landry in recognition of her failure to answer or otherwise participate in this litigation. Accordingly, Unicare does not seek the entry of a default judgment in any particular amount. Therefore, Unicare has met the "sum certain" requirement of Rule 55(b)(2).

Lastly, Unicare states that Defendant-Claimant Landry is not an infant, and there is no reason whatsoever to belief that she is not competent. See, Exhibit 1. Therefore, all of the requirements of Rule 55(b)(1) have been met and the Court must enter a default judgment against Defendant-Claimant Landry.

CONCLUSION

For all of these reasons, Unicare requests that this Court enter a default judgment against Defendant-Claimant Landry.

> Respectfully Submitted, Plaintiff-Stakeholder Unicare Life and Health Insurance Company, By, Its Attorneys:

CREVIER & RYAN, LLP.

Milagla 7
Theodore F. Glockner, BBO #629469

1500 Main Street, Suite 2020 Springfield, MA 01115-5532

(413) 787-2400

L.R. 7.1 (A) (2) CERTIFICATE

I certify that prior to filing the present motion I conferred in good faith with all counsel of record in an attempt to resolve or narrow the issues.

Modely 7 Theodore F. Glockner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I served a true copy of the foregoing via first class mail postage pre-paid to:

William Walsh, Esq.
73 State Street
Springfield, MA 01103
Counsel for Defendant-Claimant Donald Martel

Defendant-Claimant Michelle Martel Landry 18581 Sarasota Road Fort Meyers, FL 33912

Said service having taken place this // day of May, 2004.

Theodore F. Glockner

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

UNICARE LIFE AND HEALTH) Civil Action No. 04-CV-30009-KPN
INSURANCE COMPANY)
Plaintiff-Stakeholder)
V.)
v.)
DONALD R. MARTEL, MICHELLE)
MARTEL LANDRY)
Defendants-Claimants)
)

AFFIDAVIT OF THEODORE F. GLOCKNER, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT JJUDGMENT PURSUANT TO RULE 55(b)(1) OF THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

Now Comes Theodore F. Glockner, Esq. and under oath does hereby state and depose:

- 1. I am Co Counsel to Plaintiff-Stakeholder Unicare Life and Health Insurance Company ("Unicare").
- By letter dated January 27, 2004, from Unicare's Counsel David B. Crevier, 2. Unicare served a copy of its Complaint and a Waiver of Service of Summons form on Defendant-Claimant Michelle Martel Landry ("Defendant-Claimant Landry").
- 3. On February 10, 2004, Defendant-Claimant Landry acknowledged receiving Unicare's Complaint by executing and returning a Waiver of Service of Summons form, a true copy of which is attached as Exhibit 1A.
- 4. Defendant-Claimant Landry has not answered or filed any responsive pleading as of today's date.

- 5. On or about January 12, 2004, Unicare deposited with the Court \$4774.15 representing the entire stake of the life insurance benefit in this case.
- On May 7, 2004 this Court entered a default against Defendant-Claimant Michelle
 Martel Landry a true copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1B.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perjury this // day of May, 2004.

Moder 7
Theodore F. Glockner

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS



TO: David B. Crevier,	Esquire, Crevier	and Ryan, LLP,	1500 Main St.	Ste 2020, .
Springfield, MA 0	1115	HNEY OH UNHEPHESEN	HED PLANTIFF)	
Michelle Martel L	andry ENDANT NAME)	, ad	cknowledge receip	ot of your request
that I waive service of sum Martel and Michel	mons in the action of Le Martel Landry	Unicare Life a	nd Health Ins.	Co. v. Donald
which is case number _04	CV 30009	·)	in the United Stat	es District Court
for the		rict of Massachus	setts.	<u> </u>
I have also received a co by which I can return the si	py of the complaint in	the action, two cop		ent, and a means
I agree to save the cos lawsuit by not requiring that in the manner provided by	I (or the entity on who	nons and an additi se behalf I am actir	ional copy of the ong) be served with	complaint in this judicial process
I (or the entity on whos to the jurisdiction or venue the service of the summons	of the court except fo			
Lunderstand that a judg	ment may be entered	against me (or the	party on whose be	ehalf I am acting)
if an answer or motion unde	er Rule 12 is not serve	ed upon you within	60 days after	1104457 27 20(14.
or within 90 days after that	date if the request wa	s sent outside the	United States	39 3
) }		DISTRIC RICT O	CLERK
	,		F MAS	S OF
Q110104	Michele	Martil	Landry	
	Printed/Typed Name:	Michelle Marte	MARTEL 1 Landry	Landry
	As	of		J DEFENDANT)

Duty to Avoid Unnecessary Costs of Service of Summons

Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires certain parties to cooperate in saving unnecessary costs of service of the summons and complaint. A defendant located in the United States who, after being notified of an action and asked by a plaintiff located in the United States to waive service of summons, fails to do so will be required to bear the cost of such service unless good cause be shown for its failure to sign and return the waiver.

It is not good cause for a failure to waive service that a party believes that the complaint is unfounded, or that the action has been brought in an improper place or in a court that lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of the action or over its person or property. A party who waives service of the summons retains all defenses and objections (except any relating to the summons or to the service of the summons), and may later object to the jurisdiction of the court or to the place where the action has been brought.

A defendant who waives service must within the time specified on the waiver form serve on the plaintiff's attorney (or unrepresented plaintiff) a response to the complaint and must also file a signed copy of the response with the court. If the answer or motion is not served within this time, a default judgment may be taken against that defendant. By waiving service, a defendant is allowed more time to answer than if the summons had been actually served when the request for waiver of service was received.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	CARE LIFE AND HEALTH IRÁNCE COMPANY Plaintiff V.	CIVIL ACTION NO. <u>04-30009-KPN</u>
	V. ALD R. MARTIN, MICHELLE TEL LANDRY Defendant	
	NOTICE OF	<u>DEFAULT</u>
Upor	n application of the Plaintiff, <u>Unicare</u>	Life and Health Insurance Company
for a	n order of Default for failure of the De	efendant, <u>Michelle Martel Landry</u>
to ple	ead or otherwise defend as provided	by Rule 55(a) of the Federal Rules of
Civil	Procedure, notice is hereby given the	at the Defendant has been defaulted
this _	7 day of <u>May</u> , 2004 .	
		Tony Anastas, Clerk
		By: <u>Isl Maurice G. Lindsay</u> Maurice G. Lindsay, Deputy Clerk
Notic	ce mailed to:	
	Plaintiff's Counsel Michelle Martel Landry, at 21662 Inc Florida 33931	dian Bayou Drive, Fort Myers,